UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,708	10/566,708 03/01/2006 Arnaud Helie		Q92887	8999
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/17/201 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			MCGRAW, TREVOR EDWIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/566,708	HELIE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Trevor E. McGraw	3752		

		1				
	Trevor E. McGraw	3752				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	lress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 June 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid aba it, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	vhich places the r (3) a Request			
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date</li> </ul>	of the final rejection					
b) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date of the linal rejection.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further col (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		754455			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			he issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).	·	·				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven the plains(s) is (squiil be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu</li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
/Len Tran/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3752	/T. E. M./ Examiner, Art Unit 3752	:				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Examiner is not entering the after final amendment as amended Claim 11 incorporates subject matter to the "radial projection" that was not previously considered. It is noted to Applicant that Examiner wanted Applicant to file the Claims without any amendments to Claim 11 so that the spray profile limitations (e.g. being formed in an end wall in lieu of the centering means) of Claims 1 and 11 could be better addressed as discussed in the telephone interview held 05/03/2010. The amended claim 11 requires a new search and consideration on the merits.